



INTERDISCIPLINARY SEMINAR ON PRIVACY AND TRUST FOR MOBILE USERS (IPAT SEMINAR)

3 CP, Winter Term 2023/24

Ephraim Zimmer, Simon Althaus

zimmer@privacy-trust.tu-darmstadt.de, althaus@tk.tu-darmstadt.de





for Mobile Users

HOW TO WORK WITH LITERATURE AND WRITE SCIENTIFIC MATERIAL

(TIPS AND BEST PRACTICES FOR THE SCIENTIFIC REVIEW IN COMPUTER SCIENCE)

Adapted based on Original Content by Dr. Andrea Tundis



OVERVIEW

Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

Part I – Search part

- What is a publication?
- Where to find Scientific Publications?
- Type of Publications
- References and Referencing
- Quality of Scientific Publications

Part II – Review & Writing part

- How To ?
- Writing a Scientific Publication





PART I – SEARCH PART

Computer Science | Telecooperation Lab | Ephraim Zimmer

4



WHAT'S A SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION?

Scientific Publication \rightarrow a message

- With scientific background
- Offer a new insight of a scientific problem Solution, Problem, Criticism
- OR a Survey of a research field [Topic]
 SoK (Systematization of Knowledge)

Your Goal: Write a "Scientific Survey"

 Doing a survey is about balancing exploitation and exploration

Exploit: Handle information as well as possible

Explore: Gather more information

Quality of survey= f(quality of exploration, quality of exploitation)



Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users



Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

WHERE TO FIND SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS?

Platform/Website/Search Engine ... among the most popular one...





WHERE TO FIND SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS?

How to "search and select" for publications...?

- Title
- Topic [Abstract]
- Similar words... [Keywords]
- → not that much different from a "classic/standard" Google search



Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users



0

TYPES OF PUBLICATIONS VENUES

- Books
 - Survey (mostly) about a topic
- Journal Articles
 - Collection of related topics into one magazine (the journal)
 - Quality mostly depends on the Journal

(Rankings: http://www.core.edu.au/index.php/)

Good Journal

Good Article

- Conferences and Symposia
 - The most recent research achievements
 - Strict page limits
 - Papers followed by a presentation
 - Quality is usually connected to the Conference

(Rankings: <u>http://www.core.edu.au/index.php/)</u> Good Conference Good Paper

Workshops

- Mostly for work in progress
- Good for discussing new ideas



Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users



Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

REFERENCES AND REFERENCING





REFERENCES AND REFERENCING (CONT.)

Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

• Refer to the original source of information [cite]

For others to identify the foundations of your work

- Giving credit, when credit is due
 - Not doing so is **REALLY** bad practice A.K.A. **plagiarism**
 - Grundregeln der wissenschaftlichen Ethik am Fachbereich Informatik
- Avoid copying and pasting sentences from work you read If you do it, mark it as a verbatim quote [cite]



REFERENCES AND REFERENCING (CONT.)



- Copying and changing the order of sentences, replacing words by their synonyms etc. is still plagiarism.
- If you take part in this seminar, you agree that the report you generate can be checked for plagiarism

So, how can you be sure even if you stick to all the above mentioned hints?

We offer you to **check** the **"alpha**"-version of your final report for plagiarism once before the final submission and provide you with <u>feedback</u> to correct your report.



WHAT SHOULD I REFERENCE?



Articles, Papers, Books

Standards

RFC, ITU, IEEE, W3C etc.

- + All other non-scientific sources
 - Surveys
 - Magazines
 - Reports
 - Can I reference Wikipedia?



NO: not reliable (or stable) information sources. Avoid to put direct references to Wikipedia







Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

QUALITY OF SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATIONS

One of the main **quality [paper]** parameters / indicators is the "**Citation Number**".

P2 P2 P4 P4 Cit.(P1)=4 P3 ...is cited by P5

- The more a publication is cited by others, the greater its "scientific value" is recognized by the scientific community.
- The more a publication is cited by others, the higher the quality of the Venues becomes (ie Conference, Journal, etc.), where the scientific contribution is published, and vice-versa.

→ The higher the quality of a Venue, the more difficult and more competitive it becomes to be able to publish.





Some "place/way" to get the "Citation Number".

Google scholar

TITEL	0	e	ZITIERT VON	JAHR
Towards A Furfaro, 2014 Inter	A Garro, national (y as a service (secaas): On the modeling of security services for cloud compu A Tundis Carnahan Conference on Security Technology (ICCST), 1-6	ting 49	2014
On the re A Garro, A IEEE Syst	eliability Tundis ems Jour	y analysis of systems and sos: The ramsas method and related extensions mal 9 (1), 232-241	40	2014
Formal r M Otter, N	equiren Thuy, D	nents modeling for simulation-based verification Bouskela, L Buffoni, H Elmqvist, P Fritzson, A Garro,	36	2015
A model A Garro, A SpringSim	-based Tundis (TMS-D	method for system reliability analysis. EVS), 2	31	2012



von A Fur Zitiert von: 49 — **Towards Security as a Service (SecaaS)**: On the modeling of Security Services for Cloud Computing ... Abstract: The security of software servic...

Date Added to IEEE Xplore: 18 December 2014 DOI: 10.1109/CCST.2014.6986995

Or... directly on IEEE Xplorer, ACM digital library, etc.

How to check the quality of the Venue (of a scientific publication)?

- Conference → Conference Ranking
 - A*, A, B, C (ERA/CORE)/A1, A2, B1, B2, C1, C2 (Qualis)
 - Where to check: Core Conference Portal \rightarrow <u>http://portal.core.edu.au/conf-ranks/</u>

Computing Research & Education Conference Portal	Signing in with LinkedIn authorizes us to store your name, email address, headline and display picture why?		
CORE homepage CORE rankings page Frequently asked questions Search by: All Source: CORE2021 ~ Search Search Source: CORE2021 ~	CORE2021 Summary: A* - 7.21% of 804 ranked venues A - 16.04% of 804 ranked venues B - 37.19% of 804 ranked venues Australasian B - 1.62% of 804 ranked venues C - 36.19% of 804 ranked venues Australasian C - 1.74% of 804 ranked venues		





How to check the quality of the Venue (of a scientific publication)?

■ Journal → Impact Factor (IF)

Search for Journal \rightarrow IF >=1,0

Typically, it is reported on the web site of the Journal









• Conference / Journal \rightarrow ScimagoJR

https://www.scimagojr.com/



Ranked by Quartiles: Q1 (top), Q2, Q3, Q4 (worst)

Journal of Computational Science -JOCS



TECHNISCHE UNIVERSITÄT DARMSTADT

0

Privacy and Trust

for Mobile Users





PART II – REVIEW & WRITING PART

Computer Science | Telecooperation Lab | Ephraim Zimmer

1 8



OVERVIEW

Privacy and Trust for Mobile Users

- Part I Search part
- What is a publication?
- Where to find Scientific Publications?
- Type of Publications
- References and Referencing
- Quality of Scientific Publications

Part II – Review & Writing part – 2nd May

- How To ?
- Writing a Scientific Publication



Privacy and Trust

for Mobile Users

PROCESS: WRITING A SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION

0. READ, READ, READ

1. First, define the message

Objective of your publication; define the area of research

2. Read the related work

Define the work around your work Finding out what has been DONE (inc. Partially done before)

3. Survey the related work

Evaluate differences, similarities. Identify trade-offs Create a **Storyline**

4. Write your publication Structure ...

Computer Science | Telecooperation Lab | Ephraim Zimmer





PROCESS: WRITING A SCIENTIFIC PUBLICATION



Storyline

What is the plot of your **survey**?

- Connect each idea with the next in a sensible way
- Find common links, comparisons, thoughts







YOUR WORK, YOUR MESSAGE

Finding the message

- The most difficult part (!) --- could be
- Also, the creative one go beyond the state of the art
- Communicate your message with science
 Find the scientific foundations
 Identify the challenges







RELATED WORK? WHERE? HOW?

Related Work? Where?

For the initial literature ask your advisor



- it will give you a broad idea about the area
- Check publication repositories:
 - Google Scholar <u>http://scholar.google.com</u>
 - Conference directories <u>http://www.dblp.org/search/</u>
 - ACM Digital Lib <u>http://portal.acm.org/portal.cfm</u>
 - IEEE Xplore <u>http://ieee.org/portal/site</u>
 - > Authors' home pages
 - > Follow references!
 - Other sources from the reference lists, Similar papers

Likely the fastest way

Likely, most correct and complete information







RELATED WORK AND RELEVANCE

• Related Work $\rightarrow \infty$

Identify the relevant sources

Evaluating the importance of a publication

- 1. Read the abstract
- Read the introduction
 & conclusions
- 3. Check the reference list
- 4. Read the rest



Related work will

Compare your results against their results

Be used as input for a survey





WRITE YOUR PUBLICATION

- Always have a good paper structure
 - Organize your ideas
 - Organize your papers
 - Define it **BEFORE** starting to add text
 - Plan the content of each section
- Writing skills
 - No one learns without doing it
 - General Guidelines:
 - Be concise
 - Be precise
 - Active vs Passive voice

Learning by Doing



Have a look into some Academic Writing





Privacy and Trust

for Mobile Users

ABOUT THE STRUCTURE

Example of a Report (about 8-10 pages / IEEE double column -

https://www.ieee.org/conferences/publishing/templates.html

- Abstract: 200-300 words
- I Introduction: to introduce the topic you are analysing as well as your objective(s) (1 page)
- Section 2> → related to Aspect 1
 - Papers p1, p2, p3, ...: dealt with aspect $1 \rightarrow$ report the relevant information in relation to your objectives
 - \circ Comparison Table Aspect 1 \rightarrow (See next Slide)
 - $\circ~$ Your Consideration/Observations/Discussion in relation to the objective of your seminar topic
 - р1
- <Section 3> \rightarrow related to Aspect 2
 - \circ Papers p4, p5, p1 ... dealt with aspect 2 \rightarrow report the relevant information in relation to your objectives
 - Comparison Table Aspect 2 (See next Slide)
 - Your Consideration/Observations/Discussion in relation to the objective of your seminar topic
- Section 4> Comparison ? Discussion
 - Paper p6, p7, p8: dealt with another aspect... → report the relevant information in relation to your objectives
- Conclusion (1/2-1 page)
- References (1/2-1 page)





Privacy and Trust

for Mobile Users

COMPARISON IS FUNDAMENTAL

- Describe the aspects that are important in your review and use tables [not only] to highlight
 - Differences
 - Limitation/advancements
 - Unsolved problem
 - Achieved results
- Comparison tables are fundamentals and very useful to support/explain your work

Table 1

Overview of the approaches related to the acquisition of cyber threat intelligence from open sources.

RW	Data Source	Type of Intelligence	Features & Implementation	Rules & Constrains	Alert Evaluation
Sabottke et al. (2015)	Twitter	Vulnerabilities	Source Metadata,	Utility Score	No evaluation
		(CVE)	Textual data, linear SVM	(Informativeness)	for utility score
Schaberreiter et al. (2019)	MISP	STIX,	Semantic, Syntactical	Timeliness	None
		OpenIOC	features of STIX objects	parameter	
Lee et al. (2017)	Twitter,	Mid-level CIT	Source Metadata,	Expert Authority	Precision (81.4%),
	Security Blogs	terms (TTP)	Social graph, Textual data	weighting	Recall (~20%)
Sapienza et al. (2017a)	Twitter	Mid-level CIT	Keyword filtering,	Rule set	Case study
		terms (TTP)	Term frequency	(Intelliegence count)	
Sapienza et al. (2018)	Twitter,	Mid-level CIT	Keyword filtering,	Rule set	Case study,
	Darkweb	terms (TTP)	Term frequency	(Intelliegence count)	Precision (84%)
Mittal et al. (2016a)	Twitter	Cyber threat topic	Keyword filtering, Term	SWRL rules	Precision (57%),
		& concepts (TTP)	frequency, Tagging NER	(source count)	Recall (~40%)
Mittal et al. (2017c)	Twitter	Cyber threat topic	Keyword filtering, Tagging	SPARQL/SWRL,	Precision (78%)
		& concepts (TTP)	NER, Word embeddings	System profiles	((A)) F)
Le et al. (2019)	Twitter	Vulnerabilities	Source Meta data,	Cosine similarity	Precision (85%),Recall
		(CVE)	Term Frequency, Centroids	5	(52%), F1-Score (64%)





COMPARISON IS FUNDAMENTAL

One possible way/An example

Comparison & Table: Aspect 1

Paper Description: p1,p2 p3 they focused on Aspect 1 \rightarrow



Table

	Model - Features	Algorithm, Machine Learning	Metrics	Results	Datas et	Plaftfor m
p1	F1, f2	DT	Accuracy	93%	D1	Tw
p2	F3, f1,f4	SVM	F1-score	80%	D2	Tw
р3	F1, F5	DT				FB

Put your Considerations/Observations/Critic/Discussion... \rightarrow ... in relation to the objective(s) of your seminar topic







SUMMARY

A scientific publication is a message; a validated claim

<u>Refer</u> to the original source of information, avoid plagiarism



Further/more **specific feedback depends on your specific topic**... so ask directly your supervisor!





ADDITIONAL READINGS

 Difference between Survey, LR, SoK: <u>https://www.editage.com/insights/what-is-the-difference-between-a-survey-paper-and-a-systematic-review-paper</u>

 Research Stories: <u>https://miamioh.edu/hcwe/handouts/research-stories/index.html</u>